Geo-conquesting: Competitive Locational Targeting of Mobile Promotions

Nathan Fong Zheng Fang Xueming Luo





Geo-conquesting

• Mobile platforms have enabled a new form of competitive targeting called geo-conquesting

• Sending coupons or information to potential customers near a competitor's business







Growing Popularity



Source: xAd Mobile Location Insights Q2 2013



Highly Effective?



- Geo-conquesting delivered high coupon redemption:
 - Dunkin': 3.6%
 - Dept. store: 2%

• Need an experiment to isolate targeting effects







Predictions

- Competitive locational targeting works!
 - Show by varying location and timing

- Switching costs shift reservation values
 - <u>Concave</u> discount sensitivity near focal firm
 - <u>Convex</u> discount sensitivity near competitor



Our Empirical Setting: Movie Tickets

- Demand is context-sensitive
- Differentiated mainly by location
- No resale or storage
- Sensitive to promotions
- High fixed costs



Photo: Thom as Hawk



- Targeting 3 locations
 - Near the focal retailer
 - Near a competitor's location
 - Similarly trafficked neutral location
 - Offer timing: now <u>or</u> same time next week
 - Random assignment of promotion depth
 e.g. 20%, 40%, 60% discount







- Targeting 3 locations
 - Near the focal retailer
 - Near a competitor's location
 - Similarly trafficked neutral location

Targeting effect to be measured against withinsample baseline

- Note of the second state of the s
 - Random assignment of promotion depth
 e.g. 20%, 40%, 60% discount



- Targeting 3 locations
 - Near the focal retailer
 - Near a competitor's location
 - Similarly trafficked neutral location



Random assignment of promotion depth - e.g. 20%, 40%, 60% discount



3

 $\times 2$

 $\times 3$





- Targeting 3 locations
 - Near the focal retailer

N = 1,000 per cell (18,000 total)

- Near a competitor's location
- Similarly trafficked neutral location
- Offer timing: now <u>or</u> same time next week
 - Random assignment of promotion depth
 - e.g. 20%, 40%, 60% discount



 $\times 2$

 $\times 3$







J-shaped Response to Location





Discount Response Curves



Competitive targeting: higher marginal effects for deep discounts



Revenue Maximizing Discounts



Estimates monetary value of locational targeting



Promotional Elasticities





Testing for Concavity/Convexity

	Focal	Competitive	Neutral
High	8.9%	5.1%	3.2%
Medium	8.2%	2.0%	1.4%
Low	3.1%	1.2%	0.7%
High – Medium	0.7%	3.1% **	1.8% **
Medium – Low	5.1% **	0.8%	0.7%
Diff-in-diff	-4.4% **	2.3% *	1.1%
Diff-in-diff-in-diff	6.7% **		



Limitations and Extensions

- Strategic response
 - Competitive response
 - Customer response

- Effectiveness in other categories?
 - Context very important (retail, restaurants)
 - Need multivariate design



Conclusions

- Investigates an emerging tactic using mobile
 - Attribution to locational targeting
 - Effective third-degree price discrimination
- Indication of mobile's impact on competition
 - Incentive to go on offensive
 - Testing and measurement offline

